arXiv:1701.07630v1 [math.RA] 26 Jan 2017

NIL CLEAN GRAPH OF RINGS

Dhiren Kumar Basnet
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University,
Napaam, Tezpur-784028, Assam, India.
Email: dbasnet@tezu.ernet.in

Jayanta Bhattacharyya
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University,
Napaam, Tezpur-784028, Assam, India.
Email: jbhatta@tezu.ernet.in

Abstract: In this article, we have defined nil clean graph of a ring R. The vertex set is the ring R, two ring elements a and b are adjacent if and only if $a+b$ is nil clean in R. Graph theoretic properties like girth, dominating set, diameter etc. of nil clean graph have been studied for finite commutative rings.

1 Introduction

In past, lot of mathematicians have studied graph theoretic properties of graph associated with rings. By graph, in this article we mean simple undirected graph. If G denotes a graph, let $V(G)$ denotes the set of vertices and $E(G)$ denotes the set of edges. In 1988, Istavan Beck [3], studied colouring of graph of a finite commutative ring R, where vertices are elements of R and xy is an edge if and only if $xy = 0$. For a positive integer n, let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ be the ring of integers modulo n. P. Grimaldi [9] defined and studied various properties of the unit graph $G(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if $x + y$ is a unit. Further in [1], authors generalized $G(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ to unit graph $G(R)$, where R is an arbitrary associative ring with non zero identity. They have studied properties like diameter, girth chromatic index etc.

In this paper we have introduced nil clean graph $G_N(R)$ associated with a finite commutative ring R. The properties like girth, diameter, dominating sets etc. of $G_N(R)$ have been studied.

Ring R in this article, is finite commutative ring with non zero identity. An element r of ring R is said to be *nil clean element* [6,7] if for an idempotent

e in R and a nilpotent n in R, $r = n + e$. The ring R is said to be *nil clean* if each element of R is nil clean. The set of nil clean elements of R is denoted by $NC(R)$. The sets of idempotents and nilpotents of R are denoted by $\mathrm{Idem}(R)$ and $\mathrm{Nil}(R)$ respectively. A ring R is said to be a *weak nil clean ring* [5], if for each $r \in R$, $r = n + e$ or $r = n - e$, for some $n \in \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ and $e \in \mathrm{Idem}(R)$.

2 Basic properties

In this section we will define nil clean graph of a finite commutative ring and discuss its basic properties.

Definition 2.1. The *nil clean graph* of a ring R, denoted by $G_N(R)$, is defined by setting R as vertex set and defining two distinct verities x and y to be adjacent if and only if $x + y$ is a nil clean element in R. Here we are not considering loops at a point (vertex) in the graph.

For illustration following is the nil clean graph of $GF(25)$, where $GF(25)$ is the finite field with 25 elements.

$$\begin{aligned} GF(25) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_5[x]/\langle x^2 + x + 1\rangle \\ &= \{ax + b + \langle x^2 + x + 1\rangle : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_5\} \end{aligned}$$

let us define $\alpha := x + \langle x^2 + x + 1\rangle$, then we have $GF(25) = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \alpha, 2\alpha, 3\alpha, 4\alpha, 1 + \alpha, 1 + 2\alpha, 1 + 3\alpha, 1 + 4\alpha, 2 + \alpha, 2 + 2\alpha, 2 + 3\alpha, 2 + 4\alpha, 3 + \alpha, 3 + 2\alpha, 3 + 3\alpha, 3 + 4\alpha, 4 + \alpha, 4 + 2\alpha, 4 + 3\alpha, 4 + 4\alpha\}$. Observe that $NC(GF(25)) = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}\}$.



Figure 1: Nil clean graph of $GF(25)$

In graph theory, a *complete graph* is a simple undirected graph in which every pair of distinct vertices is connected by a unique edge. So by this definition the following theorem follows.

Theorem 2.2. *The nil clean graph $N_G(R)$ is a complete graph if and only if R is a nil clean ring.*

Proof. Let $N_G(R)$ be complete nil clean graph of a ring R. For $r \in R$, r is adjacent to 0 implies $r = r + 0$ is nil clean, hence R is nil clean. Converse is clear from definition of nil clean graph. □

Two graphs G_1 and G_2 are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism from G_1 to G_2 we mean a bijective mapping $f : V(G_1) \to V(G_2)$ from $V(G_1)$ onto $V(G_2)$ such that two vertices u_1 and v_1 are adjacent in G_1 if and only if the vertices $f(u_1)$ and $f(v_1)$ are adjacent in G_2 [4]. For rings R and S if $R \cong S$ it is easy to see that $G_N(R) \cong G_N(S)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a commutative ring and idempotents lift modulo* $\mathrm{Nil}(R)$. *If $x + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ and $y + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ are adjacent in $G_N(R/\mathrm{Nil}(R))$ then every element of $x + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ is adjacent to every element of $y + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ in the nil clean graph $G_N(R)$.*

Proof. Let $x + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ and $y + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ be adjacent in $G_N(R/\mathrm{Nil}(R))$, then

$$(x + \mathrm{Nil}(R)) + (y + \mathrm{Nil}(R)) = e + \mathrm{Nil}(R),$$

where e is an idempotent in R, as idempotents lift modulo $\mathrm{Nil}(R)$. Thus from above we have $x + y = e + n$, for some $n \in \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ and hence x and y are adjacent in $G_N(R)$. Now for $a \in x + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$ and $b \in y + \mathrm{Nil}(R)$, we have $a = x + n_1$ and $b = y + n_2$, for some n_1, $n_2 \in \mathrm{Nil}(R)$. Therefore $a + b = e + (n - n_1 - n_2)$. Hence, a and b are adjacent in $G_N(R)$. □

Let G be a graph, for $x \in V(G)$, the degree of x denoted by $deg(x)$, is defined to be number of edges of G whose one of the end point is x. The neighbour set of $x \in V(G)$, is denoted and defined as by $N_G(x) := \{y \in V(G) | y \text{ is adjacent to } x\}$ and the set $N_G[x] = N_G(x) \cup \{x\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G_N(R)$ be the nil clean graph of a ring R. For $x \in R$ we have the following:*

(i) *If $2x$ is nil clean, then $deg(x) = |NC(R)| - 1$.*

(ii) *If $2x$ is not nil clean, then $deg(x) = |NC(R)|$.*

Proof. Let $x \in R$, observe that $x + R = R$, so for every $y \in NC(R)$, there exists a unique element $x_y \in R$, such that $x + x_y = y$. Thus we have $deg(x) \leq |NC(R)|$. Now if $2x \in NC(R)$, define $f : NC(R) \to N_{G_N(R)}[x]$ given by $f(y) = x_y$. It is easy to see that f is a bijection and therefore $deg(x) = |N_{G_N(R)}(x)| = |N_{G_N(R)}[x]| - 1 = |NC(R)| - 1$. If $2x \notin NC(R)$, define $f : NC(R) \to N_{G_N(R)}(x)$ given by $f(y) = x_y$. Then f is a bijection and therefore $deg(x) = |N_{G_N(R)}(x)| = |NC(R)|$. □

A graph G is said to be *connected* if for any two distinct vertices of G, there is a path in G connecting them.

Theorem 2.5. *For a ring R. The following hold :*

(i) *$G_N(R)$ need not be connected.*

(ii) *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_n$. For $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ there is a path from $\overline{a}$ to $\overline{0}$.*

(iii) *$G_N(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is connected.*

(iv) *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_n$. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ there is a path from A to 0, where 0 is the zero matrix of $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_n)$.*

(v) $G_N(M_n(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ *is connected.*

Proof. (i) Clear by the graph $G_N(GF(25))$, figure 1. For (ii) and (iii) replacing p by n in the figure 4, we get a Hamiltonian path in $G_N(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. Now for the proof of (iv), let $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(Z_n)$, now we define $A_1 = [a1_{ij}]$ where $a1_{ij} = -a_{ij}$ for $i \geq j$, otherwise $a1_{ij} = 0$, observe that $A_1 + A$ is nilpotent hence nil clean. Thus there exists an edge between A and A_1. Again define $A_2 = [a2_{ij}]$ where $a2_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $i = j$, otherwise $a1_{ij} = 0$, then clearly we have an edge between A_1 and A_2 in $G_N(M_n(\mathbb{Z}_n))$. For each element a_{ii} of A_2, by (ii) we have a path $\{a_{ii}, b_{i1}, b_{i2}, b_{i3}, \ldots, b_{ik_i} = \overline{0}\}$ of length $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ to $\overline{0}$. Now let $K = \max\{k_i : 1 \leq i\}$, we will construct a path of length K from A_2 to 0, as follows. Define $B_i = [b1_{jl}]$, where $b1_{jj} = b_{ji}$, if $b1_{ij}$ appears in some above paths, otherwise $b1_{ij} = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq K$. Thus $\{A, A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_K = 0\}$ is a path from A to 0 in $G_N(M_n(\mathbb{Z}_n))$. Lastly (v) follows from (iv). □

Following result related to finite field will be used in upcoming results, we state it here to maintain the self containment of the article.

Lemma 2.6. *A ring R is a finite commutative reduced ring with no non trivial idempotents if and only if R is a finite field.*

Proof.$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $0 \neq x \in R$. Observe the set $A = \{x^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a finite set. Therefore there exist $m > l$ such that $x^l = x^m$. Note that $x^l = x^m = x^{m-l+l} = x^{m-l}.x^l = x^{m-l}.x^m = x^{2m-l+l-l} = x^{2(m-l)+l} = \cdots = x^{k(m-l)+l}$, where k is a natural number. Now we have $[x^{l(m-l)}]^2 = x^{l(m-l)}.x^{l(m-l)} = x^{l(m-l)+l(m-l)+l-l} = x^{l(m-l)+l}.x^{l(m-l)-l} = x^l.x^{l(m-l)-l} = x^{l(m-l)}$ that is $x^{l(m-l)}$ is an idempotent. Thus $x^{l(m-l)} = 1$ which gives that x is a unit, therefore R is a finite field. $(\Leftarrow)$ Obvious. □

3 Invariants of nil clean graph

In this section, we prove some results related to invariants of graph theory. Following subsection is for girth of $G_N(R)$.

3.1 Girth of $G_N(R)$

For a graph G, the *girth* of G is the length of the shortest cycle in G. We have following results on girth of nil clean graph.

Theorem 3.1. *The following hold for nil clean graph $G_N(R)$ of R:*

(i) *If R is not a field, then girth of $G_N(R)$ is equal to 3.*

(ii) *If R is a field*

(a) girth is $2p$ if $R \cong GF(p^k)$ (field of order p^k), where p is a odd prime and $k > 1$;

(b) girth is infinite, in fact $G_N(R)$ is a path, otherwise.

Proof. (i) Let R has at least one non-trivial idempotent or non trivial nilpotent. If $e \in R$ be an nontrivial idempotent, then we have



Figure 2:

so the girth of $G_N(R)$ is 3. Again if R contains a nontrivial nilpotent $n \in R$, then we have the cycle



Figure 3:

so the girth is 3. By **Lemma 2.6** rings without non trivial idempotents and nilpotents are field. This proves (i).

(ii) As set of nil clean elements of a finite field is $\{0, 1\}$, so the nil clean graph of $\mathbb{F}_p$, where p is a prime, is


$\overline{0}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{p-1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{p-2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{\frac{p+3}{2}}$ $\overline{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ $\overline{\frac{p+1}{2}}$

Figure 4: Nil clean graph of $\mathbb{Z}_p$

From the graph, it is clear that the grith of $G_N(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is infinite, which proves (b). It is easy to observe from characterization of finite field that the nil clean graph of $GF(p^k)$ for $p > 2$, is a disconnected graph consisting of a path of length p and $(\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2})$ number of $2p$ cycles. For the proof let $GF(p^k) = Z_p[X]/\langle f(x)\rangle$, where $f(x)$ is a irreducible polynomial of degree k over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $A \subseteq GF(p^k)$, such that A consists of all linear combinations of $x, x^2, \ldots, X^{k-1}$ with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that if $g(x)+\langle f(x)\rangle \in A$ then $-g(x)+\langle f(x)\rangle \notin A$. Clearly, A can be written as $A = \{g_i(x) + \langle f(x)\rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq (\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2})\}$, let us denote $\overline{g_i(x)} = g_i(x) + \langle f(x)\rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq (\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2})$. So (a) follows from Figure 5.


$\overline{0}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{p-1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ $\overline{\frac{p+1}{2}}$
$\overline{g_1(x)}$ $(-\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{1})(\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{p-1})(-\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{2})$ $(-\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{\frac{p-1}{2}})(\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{\frac{p+1}{2}})$
$\overline{-g_1(x)}$ $(\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{1})(-\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{p-1})(\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{2})$ $(\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{\frac{p-1}{2}})(-\overline{g_1(x)}+\overline{\frac{p+1}{2}})$
$\overline{g_2(x)}$ $(-\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{1})(\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{p-1})(-\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{2})$ $(-\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{\frac{p-1}{2}})(\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{\frac{p+1}{2}})$
$\overline{-g_2(x)}$ $(\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{1})(-\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{p-1})(\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{2})$ $(\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{\frac{p-1}{2}})(-\overline{g_2(x)}+\overline{\frac{p+1}{2}})$
$\overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)}$ A B C D E
$-\overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)}$ A' B' C' D' E'

Figure 5: Nil clean graph of $GF(p^k)$

Here, $A = -\overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{1}$, $B = -\overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{p-1}$, $C = \overline{-g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{2}$,

$D = \overline{-g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, $E = -\overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{\frac{p+1}{2}}$,

$A' = \overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \bar{1}$, $B' = -\overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{p-1}$, $C' = \overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{2}$,

$D' = \overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, $E' = -\overline{g_{\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2}}(x)} + \overline{\frac{p+1}{2}}$

Corollary 3.2. *$G_N(R)$ is not cyclic.*

A graph G is said to be bipartite if its vertex set can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets V_1 and V_2, such that $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$ and every edge in G has the form $e = (x, y) \in E(G)$, where $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_2$. Note that no two vertices both in V_1 or both in V_2 are adjacent.

Theorem 3.3. *$G_N(R)$ is bipartite if and only if R is a field.*

Proof. Let $G_N(R)$ be bipartite, so girth is of $G_N(R)$ is not a odd number, hence by **Theorem 3.1** R can not be a non field ring. Now if R is a field, it is clear from the nil clean graph of R that $G_N(R)$ is bipartite. □

3.2 Dominating set

Let G be a graph, a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be dominating set for G if for all $x \in V(G)$, $x \in S$ or there exists $y \in S$ such that x is adjacent to y. Following theorem shows that for a finite commutative weak clean ring dominating number is 2, where dominating number is the carnality of smallest dominating set.

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a weak nil clean ring such that R has no non trivial idempotents, then $\{1, 2\}$ is a dominating set for $G_N(R)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$, then $a = n, n+1$ or $n-1$ for some $n \in \mathrm{Nil}(R)$. If $a = n$, then $n + 1 \in \mathrm{NC}(R)$ implies a is adjacent to 1. If $a = n - 1$, then $n-1+1 = n \in \mathrm{NC}(R)$ implies a is adjacent to 1. If $a = n+1$ and $2 = n_1$ for some nilpotent $n_1 \in R$, then a is adjacent to 2. If $a = n + 1$ and $2 = n_1 - 1$ for some nilpotent $n_1 \in R$, then a is adjacent to 2. If $a = n+1$ and $2 = n_1+1$ for some nilpotent $n_1 \in R$. Now $a + 2 = (n + 1) + (n_1 + 1) = (n + n_1) + 2 = n + n_1 + (n_1 + 1) = (n + 2n_1) + 1$ is nil clean. Hence a is adjacent to 2. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $R = A \times B$, such that A is nil clean and B weak nil clean with no non trivial idempotents. Then $\{(1_A, 1_B), (2_A, 2_B)\}$ is a dominating set for $G_N(R)$.*

Proof. Let $(a, b) \in R$, where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. For $n_1 \in \text{Nil}(A)$, $n_2 \in \text{Nil}(B)$ and $0 \neq e \in \text{Idem}(A)$, (a, b) has one of the following form $(a, b) = (n_1, n_2)+(e, 1_B), (n_1, n_2)+(e, 0), (n_1, n_2)-(e, 1_B)$ or $(n_1, n_2)+(0, 0)$ If $(a, b) = (n_1, n_2)+(e, 1_B)$, we have $(a, b)+(2_A, 2_B) = (n_1+e+2_A, n_2+1_B+2_B)$. Since A is nil clean $n_1 + e + 2_A = n_1' + f$ for some $n_1' \in \text{Nil}(A)$ and $f \in \text{Idem}(A)$. Since B is weak nil clean $2_B = n_2'$, or $n_2' - 1_B$, for some $n_2' \in \text{Nil}(B)$. If $2_B = n_2'$, we have $(a, b) + (2_A, 2_B) = (n_1', n_2 + n_2') + (f, 1_B)$ which is a nil clean expression, hence (a, b) is adjacent to $(2_A, 2_B)$. If $2_B = n_2' - 1_B$, we have $(a, b) + (2_A, 2_B) = (n_1', n_2 + n_2') + (f, 0)$, thus (a, b) is adjacent to $(2_A, 2_B)$. In other three cases it is easy to see that $(a, b) + (1_A, 1_B)$ is nil clean hence (a, b) is adjacent to $(1_A, 1_B)$. Therefore $\{(1_A, 1_B), (2_A, 2_B)\}$ is a dominating set for R. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a weak nil clean ring. $\{1, 2\}$ is a dominating set in $G_N(R)$.*

Proof If R has no non trivial idempotents, then by **Theorem 3.4** we are done. If R has a non trivial idempotent say e, then by Peirce decomposition $R \cong eR \oplus (1-e)R$, now by **Theorem 2.3** of [2] we have one of eR or $(1-e)R$ must be a nil clean ring. Without lost of generality suppose that eR is a nil clean ring and $(1 - e)R$ be a weak nil clean ring. Now if $(1 - e)R$ has no non trivial idempotents, then we have the result by **Theorem 3.5**. If $f \in \text{Idem}((1 - e)R)$, repeating as above we get a direct sum decomposition of R where only one summand is weak nil clean. As ring R is a finite ring, so after repeating above to the weak nil clean summand of R, we will have a direct sum decomposition of R, where idempotents of weak nil clean summand of R is trivial, then again by **Theorem 3.5** we have the result. □

3.3 Chromatic index

An edge colouring of a graph G is a map $C : E(G) \to S$, where S is a set of colours such that for all $e, f \in E(G)$, if e and f are adjacent, then $C(e) \neq C(f)$. The *chromatic index* of a graph denoted by $\chi'(G)$ and is defined as the minimum number of colours needed for a proper colouring of G. Let $\triangle$ be the maximum vertex degree of G, then Vizings theorem [8]

gives $\triangle \leq \chi'(G) \leq \triangle + 1$. Vizings theorem divides the graphs into two classes according to their chromatic index; graphs satisfying $\chi'(G) = \triangle$ are called graphs of class 1, those with $\chi'(G) = \triangle + 1$ are graphs of class 2.

Following theorem shows that $G_N(R)$ is of class 1.

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a finite commutative ring then the nil clean graph of R is of class* 1.

Proof. We colour the edge ab by the colour $a + b$. By this colouring, every two distinct edges ab and ac has a different colour and $C = \{a + b | ab \text{ is an edge in } G_N(R)\}$ is the set of colours. Therefore nil clean graph has a $|C|$-edge colouring and so $\chi'(G_N(R)) \leq |C|$. But $C \subset NC(R)$ and $\chi'(G_N(R)) \leq |C| \leq |NC(R)|$. By **Lemma 2.4**, $\triangle \leq |NC(R)|$, and so by Vizing's theorem, we have $\chi'(G_N(R)) \geq \triangle = |NC(R|$. Therefore $\chi'(G_N(R)) = |NC(R| = \triangle$, i.e., $G_N(R)$ is class of 1. □

3.4 Diameter

For a graph G, number of edges on the shortest path between vertices x and y is called the *distance* between x and y and is denoted by $d(x, y)$. If there is no path between x and y then we say $d(x, y) = \infty$. The diameter of a graph $diam(G)$ is the maximum of distances of each pair of distinct vertices in G.

The following are some results related to diameter of nil clean graph of a ring.

Lemma 3.8. *R is nil clean ring if and only if $diam(G_N(R)) = 1$.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let R be a non nil clean, weak nil clean ring with no non trivial idempotents then $diam(G_N(R)) = 2$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$, then for some $n_1, n_2 \in \text{Nil}(R)$, we have $a = n_1 + 1, n_1 - 1$ or n_1 and $b = n_2 + 1, n_2 - 1$ or n_2. If $a = n_1 + 1$ and $b = n_2 - 1$ or n_2, clearly $a + b$ is nil clean, thus ab is an edge in $G_N(R)$, therefore $d(a, b) = 1$. If $a = n_1 + 1$ and $b = n_2 + 1$, we have the path a—(-1)—b in $G_N(R)$ thus $d(a, b) \leq 2$. If $a = n_1 - 1$ and $b = n_2 - 1$ or n_2 similarly as above a path of length 2 from a to b through 1, thus in this case also $d(a, b) \leq 2$. Finally if $a = n_1$ and $b = n_2$, then $d(a, b) = 2$. Thus from above we conclude that $diam(G_N(R)) \leq 2$. Now as R is a non nil clean, weak nil clean ring thus we have at least one $x \in R$, such that $x = n - 1$ but $x \neq n + 1$, i.e., x is not nil clean. Then we have $d(0, x) = 2$, therefore $diam(G_N(R)) \geq 2$, and hence the result follows. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let $R = A \times B$, such that A is nil clean and B weak nil clean with no non trivial idempotents, then $diam(G_N(R)) = 2$.*

Proof. We have $\text{Idem}(R) = \{(e, 0_B), (e, 1_B) | e \in \text{Idem}(A)\}$. Now let $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in R$, in case $(a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2)$ is nil clean then $d((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) = 1$ in $G_N(R)$.
If $(a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2)$ is not nil clean, thus $b_1 + b_2$ is not nil clean. So we have followings cases:
CASE I: If $b_1 = n_1+1$ and $b_2 = n_2+1$, we have the path (a_1, b_1)—$(0, -1)$—(a_2, b_2) in $G_N(R)$, thus $d((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) \leq 2$.
CASE II: If $b_1 = n_1-1$ and $b_2 = n_2-1$, we have the path (a_1, b_1)—$(0, 1)$—(a_2, b_2) in $G_N(R)$, thus $d((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) \leq 2$.
CASE III: If $b_1 = n_1-1$ and $b_2 = n_2$, we have the path (a_1, b_1)—$(0, 1)$—(a_2, b_2) in $G_N(R)$, thus $d((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) \leq 2$.
CASE IV: If $b_1 = n_1$ and $b_2 = n_2 - 1$, by **CASE III** and symmetry we have $d((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) \leq 2$.
Therefore $diam(R) \leq 2$, R is not nil clean implies $diam(R) \geq 2$, Thus $diam(R) = 2$. □

Theorem 3.11. *If R is weak nil clean ring but not nil clean then $diam(G_N(R)) = 2$.*

Proof. If R has no non trivial idempotents, then by **Theorem 3.10** we are done. If R has a non trivial idempotent say e, then by Peirce decomposition $R \cong eR \oplus (1-e)R$, so by **Theorem 2.3** of [2] we have one of eR or $(1-e)R$ must be a nil clean ring. Without lost of generality suppose that eR is a nil clean ring and $(1 - e)R$ be a weak nil clean ring. Now if $(1 - e)R$ has no non trivial idempotents, then we have the result by **Theorem 3.10**. If $f \in \text{Idem}((1-e)R)$, repeating as above we get a direct sum decomposition of R where only one summand is weak nil clean. As R is a finite ring, so after repeating above to the weak nil clean summand of R we will have a direct sum decomposition of R, where idempotents of weak nil clean summand of R are trivial, then again by **Theorem 3.10** we have the result. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let n be a positive integer, then the following hold for $\mathbb{Z}_n$.*

(i) *If $n = 2^k$, for some integer $k \geq 1$, then $diam(G_N(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = 1$.*

(ii) *If $n = 2^k 3^l$, for some integer $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$, then $diam(G_N(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = 2$.*

(iii) *For a prime p, $diam(G_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = p - 1$.*

(iv) *If $n = 2p$, where p is a odd prime, then $diam(G_N(\mathbb{Z}_{2p})) = p - 1$.*

(v) *If $n = 3p$, where p is a odd prime, then $diam(G_N(\mathbb{Z}_{3p})) = p - 1$.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from **Lemma 3.8** and **Theorem 3.11** respectively. (iii) follows from $G_N(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ in Figure 4, (iv) follows from graph $G_N(\mathbb{Z}_{2p})$ in Figure 6 and (v) follows from graphs in Figure 8 and Figure 7.



Figure 6: Nil clean graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{2p}$



Figure 7: Nil clean graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{3p}$ where $p \equiv (1 mod 3)$



Figure 8: Nil clean graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{3p}$ where $p \equiv 2(mod 3)$

References

[1] Ashrafi, N., Maimani, H. R., Pournaki, M. R. and Yassemi, S. Unit graphs associated with rings. *Communications in Algebra*, 38: 28512871, 2010.

[2] Basnet, D. Kr. and Bhattacharyya, J. Weak Nil Clean Rings rXiv:1510.07440

[3] Beck, I. Coloring of commutative rings. *Journal of algebra* 116, 208-226, 1988.

[4] Chartrand, G. *Introductory graph theory*. Dover publications, New York,1987.

[5] Danchev, P. V. and McGovern, W. Commutative weakly nil clean unital rings. *Journal of Algebra*, 425, 410-422, 2015.

[6] Diesl, A. J. *Classes of Strongly Clean Rings*. Ph D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2006.

[7] Diesl, A. J. Nil clean rings .*Journal of Algebra*, 383 : 197 - 211, 2013.

[8] Diestel, R. *Graph Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York 1997, electronic edition 2000.

[9] Grimaldi, R. P. Graphs from rings. *Proceedings of the 20th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing*, Volume 71, pages 95103, Florida, USA, 1990. Atlantic University.

[10] Nicholson, W.K. Lifting idempotents and exchange rings. *Transactions of the american mathematical society*, 229 : 269 - 278, 1977. 229 : 269 – 278.